

Environmental Insights in Construction Contract Law

Sugiarto Raharjo Japar

Managing Partner Law Office Japar & Associates

Email: japar@dosen.umaha.ac.id

Abstract

The study examines the principles of environmental stewardship that can be incorporated into construction contract law. Construction projects often have significant environmental implications, making it crucial to minimize environmental impact at every stage. This research uses a normative approach to analyze the implementation of environmental principles in Indonesian construction contract law and the challenges associated with their application. The findings indicate that key environmental principles, such as sustainable development, prevention, precaution, intergenerational equity, and environmental impact control, can be integrated into construction contracts through clauses that require contractors to conduct environmental impact assessments, utilize environmentally friendly technologies, minimize natural resource consumption, and restore the environment upon project completion. By integrating these environmental principles into contractual frameworks, the construction sector can play a vital role in mitigating the environmental consequences of infrastructure development.

Keywords: Sustainable Development, Construction Contracts, Environmental Stewardship Principles.

A. INTRODUCTION

The construction industry significantly contributes to environmental degradation, accounting for a substantial portion of global energy consumption, greenhouse gas emissions, and resource depletion (Eddy et al., 2023). This sizable environmental impact underscores the urgent need to incorporate environmental considerations into construction processes and contractual frameworks. This paper explores the integration of environmental stewardship principles, such as sustainable development, prevention, and precaution, into construction contract law, with a focus on the Indonesian context where sustainable construction practices are still emerging and developing (Abduh et al., 2018).

Integrating these principles can drive the construction industry towards more environmentally responsible practices, mitigating the sector's significant ecological footprint. Recent studies have indicated that sustainable construction practices in Indonesia are still in the early stages of development, with Indonesian contractors facing challenges such as a lack of practical guidelines and the need for a more comprehensive framework to support the adoption of sustainability principles. To address these challenges, this research employs a normative approach to analyze the current state of environmental principles in Indonesian construction contract law and explores strategies for their effective integration. The construction industry in Indonesia has begun to recognize the importance of sustainable construction practices, but significant challenges remain in their widespread implementation.

Implementation is often hindered by reactive, cost focused decision making and the absence of contractual provisions requiring environmentally preferable practices. Limited technical guidance, a lack of standardized contract templates, and insufficient incentive mechanisms also impede consistent adoption. Construction contracts can address these barriers by converting environmental objectives into measurable obligations and specifying procedures for monitoring and ensuring compliance. In this way, sustainability requirements become part of project delivery rather than matters left to discretion. Aligning contractual obligations with national regulations and international sustainability goals may further reduce the gap between policy and practice. This is particularly important because Indonesian contractors may have difficulty achieving targets such as reducing energy use and minimizing waste without effective government guidance and support.

These challenges are exacerbated by the lack of robust policy frameworks. Inconsistent enforcement may fail to ensure that essential environmental safety standards are maintained throughout a project's life cycle. The decree's treatment of sustainability across five infrastructure life cycle phases programming, technical planning, construction execution, utilization, and demolition offers a basis for extending contractual obligations beyond the construction site. However, without comprehensive technical guidance, high level regulatory frameworks may be difficult to translate into practical, site specific requirements. The prevalence of reactive, cost oriented project management also highlights the need for explicit green clauses that require compliance with sustainability performance metrics throughout procurement. Developing a competitive sustainable market additionally requires specialist subcontractors and suppliers to incorporate these principles into their business models. Incentive mechanisms such as financial bonuses for meeting carbon intensity benchmarks or preferential scoring in future tenders can help offset the risks of adopting sustainable technologies. Professional bodies should also support this transition by developing standardized certification programs and best practice guidelines that align local competencies with global standards.

B. LITERATURE REVIEW

Existing scholarly research on sustainable construction practices in Indonesia and Malaysia has underscored the importance of leveraging environmental principles and green technologies to minimize the environmental footprint of construction projects (Abidin et al., 2020). These studies emphasize the need for a comprehensive approach that addresses various aspects of construction management, including policy formulation, stakeholder involvement, and the effective management of construction waste, water contamination, and air pollution (Eddy et al., 2023).

The literature suggests that the adoption of sustainable procurement practices, which incorporate environmental and social considerations into the procurement process, can be a crucial driver for sustainable construction. In the broader international context, studies have examined the environmental impacts of

construction processes, particularly energy consumption and air emissions, and have explored approaches to mitigate such impacts through technology, policies, regulations, and guidelines (Horvath, 2004).

However, the scholarly literature on the legal and contractual aspects of environmental stewardship in construction projects is limited. This research aims to address this gap by analyzing how environmental principles can be integrated into construction contract law to promote more sustainable construction practices (Yiu, 2013). To conduct this study, a normative approach was employed, which involves analyzing the current state of environmental principles in Indonesian construction contract law and exploring strategies for their effective integration. Sustainable construction requires the integration of environmental principles into all stages of construction projects, including the contractual frameworks that govern these initiatives (O'Connor et al., 2016).

The integration of environmental considerations into construction contracts can be achieved through several key mechanisms. First, contract clauses can mandate the contractor to conduct comprehensive environmental impact assessments prior to project commencement (Wirahadikusumah et al., 2020) (Sukardi & Abduh, 2019). These assessments should identify potential environmental risks and outline mitigation strategies, ensuring that environmental impacts are addressed proactively. Secondly, contracts can stipulate the use of environmentally friendly technologies, materials, and construction methods that minimize resource consumption, waste generation, and emissions (Melton & Springer, 2008). Additionally, contractual clauses can require the contractor to restore the environment upon project completion, such as through land rehabilitation, habitat restoration, and the proper disposal of construction waste (Gibbs et al., 2010). Sustainable development, which harmonizes economic, social, and environmental factors, is another crucial environmental principle that can be integrated into construction contracts (Brent & Pretorius, 2008).

Contractual frameworks can incorporate sustainable development objectives, such as the efficient utilization of natural resources, the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, and the conservation of biodiversity. Furthermore, the principle of prevention, which emphasizes anticipating and mitigating environmental risks, can also be embedded in construction contracts (Hsueh & Yan, 2013). This can involve mandating contractors to implement environmental management systems, monitor environmental performance, and take proactive steps to prevent environmental degradation (Hibberd, 2017). The precautionary principle, which calls for the prevention of potential environmental harm even in the absence of conclusive scientific evidence, can also be incorporated into construction contracts (Schaal & Zevely, 2003).

This principle advocates for a cautious approach when the potential for harm is uncertain, and contractors may be required to implement mitigation measures for potential environmental risks, even if the full extent of the impacts is not yet known (Lavers & Shiers, 2000). Additionally, the polluter-pays principle, which holds

polluters responsible for the environmental damage they cause, can be reflected in construction contracts through penalty clauses for non-compliance with environmental regulations and contractual obligations (Dasgupta et al., 2001).

The integration of these environmental principles into construction contracts represents a crucial step towards promoting sustainable construction practices and mitigating the construction industry's significant ecological footprint. By incorporating these principles into contractual frameworks, the industry can contribute to broader environmental stewardship efforts and support the transition towards a more sustainable built environment.

C. METHOD

This study employs a normative research approach, which involves analyzing legal principles, norms, and regulations to determine how environmental stewardship can be integrated into construction contract law. The research process encompasses a comprehensive review of existing academic literature on sustainable construction practices, environmental principles, and the role of contract law in promoting environmental protection (Alnsour et al., 2022).

The analysis focuses on identifying and examining key environmental principles, such as sustainable development, prevention, precaution, intergenerational equity, and environmental impact control, and their potential application within the context of construction contract law (Mohammadi & Birgönül, 2016). Furthermore, the research explores the challenges and barriers associated with implementing these environmental principles in the construction sector, particularly in the Indonesian context where sustainable construction remains an emerging field (Putra & Alfietta, 2022).

D. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Incorporating environmental stewardship principles into construction contract law is essential for mitigating the ecological impact of construction projects. Key environmental principles, such as sustainable development, prevention, precaution, intergenerational equity, and environmental impact control, can be integrated into construction contracts through specific clauses and requirements (Sukardi & Abduh, 2019). Contractual frameworks can mandate the adoption of sustainable construction practices, including the use of renewable materials, energy-efficient technologies, and the implementation of waste management and water conservation measures (Plessis, 2007).

Contracts can also oblige contractors to conduct comprehensive environmental impact assessments and implement appropriate mitigation strategies to prevent or minimize environmental harm during construction activities (Wei, 2021). Furthermore, contractual provisions can stipulate that contractors must take a precautionary approach, incorporating the latest available technologies and best practices to reduce environmental risks, even in the absence of conclusive scientific evidence (Schuldt et al., 2021). Contracts can include provisions that require

contractors to consider the long-term environmental implications of their activities and ensure that construction projects do not compromise the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (Lee & Ellingwood, 2015).

Additionally, contracts can mandate that contractors monitor and report on the environmental performance of construction projects and implement corrective measures to address any adverse environmental impacts that may arise during the construction process (Eddy et al., 2023). Integrating these environmental principles into construction contracts can yield benefits, such as reduced resource consumption, minimized environmental degradation, and improved environmental compliance. However, the integration of environmental principles into construction contracts also faces challenges, including the reluctance of industry stakeholders to adopt more stringent environmental requirements, the lack of standardized environmental performance metrics, and the potential for increased project costs associated with sustainable construction practices (Madhavan et al., 2023). Overall, the integration of environmental principles into construction contract law represents a critical step towards promoting sustainability in the construction industry.

Contractual frameworks that incorporate environmental stewardship principles can drive the construction industry towards more sustainable practices, enabling the sector to contribute to broader environmental conservation efforts and support the transition to a more sustainable built environment (Tunji-Olayeni et al., 2018). Integrating environmental principles, such as sustainable development, prevention, and precaution, into construction contract law is a critical step in mitigating the industry's significant ecological impact (Chan et al., 2017). By embedding these principles into contractual frameworks, the construction industry can further its role in supporting the transition to a more environmentally sustainable built environment (Sparrevik et al., 2021).

The construction industry is increasingly recognizing the need to address its significant environmental impacts (Mohammadi & Birgönül, 2016). Adopting sustainable construction practices, such as utilizing renewable materials, energy-efficient technologies, and implementing waste management and water conservation measures, can help mitigate the industry's environmental footprint (Alnsour et al., 2022). Integrating environmental principles into construction contract law is a critical step towards promoting sustainable construction practices. Sustainable construction offers numerous benefits, including reduced resource consumption, minimized environmental degradation, and improved environmental compliance (Durdyev et al., 2018). This research underscores the importance of incorporating environmental stewardship principles into the contractual frameworks governing the construction industry.

Construction contracts can embed key environmental principles, such as sustainable development, prevention, precaution, intergenerational equity, and environmental impact control, through specific contractual clauses and requirements (Son et al., 2011). For instance, contracts may mandate the adoption of sustainable construction practices, compel comprehensive environmental impact assessments,

and stipulate the monitoring and reporting of environmental performance (Trtílek & Hanák, 2021). However, integrating these environmental principles into construction contracts faces several challenges. Industry stakeholders may be reluctant to adopt more stringent environmental requirements, and there is a lack of standardized environmental performance metrics (Wong et al., 2016). Additionally, the potential for increased project costs associated with sustainable construction practices can hinder their widespread adoption.

To address the challenges associated with integrating environmental principles into construction contract law, policymakers and industry stakeholders must collaborate to develop robust and standardized frameworks (El-adaway et al., 2019). This would enable the construction industry to contribute to broader environmental stewardship efforts and support the transition towards a more sustainable built environment. Moreover, the construction industry must address the growing number of claims related to sustainability features in construction projects, as new sustainability regulations have led to an increase in such claims (Mohammadi & Birgönül, 2016). Construction contracts play a critical role in mitigating these legal and administrative risks. The integration of environmental principles into construction contract law represents a pivotal step towards promoting sustainability in the construction industry, as it can help mitigate the sector's significant ecological impact and contribute to broader environmental stewardship endeavors (Waris et al., 2018).

D. CONCLUSION

Integrating environmental stewardship principles into construction contract law is a crucial step in promoting sustainable construction practices. By incorporating key environmental principles, such as sustainable development, prevention, precaution, intergenerational equity, and environmental impact control, into construction contracts, policymakers and stakeholders can drive the construction industry towards more sustainable and environmentally responsible operations. This study presents a framework for integrating environmental stewardship principles into construction contract law, which can be adapted and implemented in various contexts, including the Indonesian construction industry.

REFERENCES

- Abduh, M., Wirahadikusumah, R D., & Messah, Y A. (2018, January 1). Framework Development Methodology for Sustainable Procurement of Construction Works in Indonesia. *EDP Sciences*, 203, 02014-02014. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201820302014>
- Abidin, N A Z., Ghani, A H A., & Mohammad, H. (2020, May 1). Identifying the Environmental Strategies in Construction Site for Malaysian Contractors in Johor. *IOP Publishing*, 498(1), 012108-012108. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/498/1/012108>

- Alnsour, M A., Zeidan, A., Quwaider, B A., Alkubaisi, A., Alreqeb, R., & Bader, Brent, A C., & Pretorius, M W. (2008). Sustainable Development and Technology Management. *World Scientific*, 185-203. https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812790545_0012
- Alnsour, M., Zeidan, A., Al Quwaider, B., Alkubaisi, A., Alreqeb, R., & Bader, M. (2023). Developing sustainability assessment indicators for measuring contractor's performance during the construction phase of construction projects in Jordan. *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 24(1), 245-266. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42107-022-00500-5>
- Chan, A. P. C., Darko, A., Olanipekun, A. O., & Ameyaw, E. E. (2017). Critical barriers to green building technologies adoption in developing countries: The case of Ghana. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 172, 1067. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.235>
- Dasgupta, S., Laplante, B., & Mamingi, N. (2001, November 1). Pollution and Capital Markets in Developing Countries. *Elsevier BV*, 42(3), 310-335. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jeeem.2000.1161>
- Durdyev, S., Zavadskas, E K., Thurnell, D., Banaitis, A., & Ihtiyar, A. (2018, February 2). Sustainable Construction Industry in Cambodia: Awareness, Drivers and Barriers. *Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute*, 10(2), 392-392. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10020392>
- Eddy, T., Agustina, A., & Purnomo, S. (2023). Influence of Sustainable Construction for The Environment and Social Community. *RSF Conference Series: Business, Management and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 410-417. <https://doi.org/10.31098/bmss.v3i3.705>
- El-Adaway, I., Abotaleb, I., Mohler, R., Sheffler, J., Fuller, H., & Orozco, C. (2018). Contractual considerations for complying with environmental requirements: Lessons learned using a case study approach. In *Construction Research Congress 2018* (pp. 235-245). <https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784481301.024>
- El-Adaway, I. H., Abotaleb, I. S., Mohler, R. J., Sheffler, J., Fuller, H., & Orozco, C. (2019). Contractual implications of environmental compliance requirements in construction projects. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 11(3), 05019002. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000302](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000302)
- Gibbs, J T., Santillan, J., Callier, M R P., & Porter, R C. (2010, December 1). Best management practices for environmental restoration programs. *Wiley*, 21(1), 57- 77. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rem.20272>
- Hibberd, P. (2017). Sustainability—the role of Construction Contracts. *Future challenges in evaluating and managing sustainable development in the built environment*, 268-284. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119190691.ch16>.
- Horvath, A. (2004). Construction Materials and the Environment. *Annual Reviews*, 29(1), 181-204. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.29.062403.102215>
- Hsueh, S., & Yan, M.-R. (2013). A Multimethodology Contractor Assessment Model for Facilitating Green Innovation: The View of Energy and Environmental

- Protection. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2013(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/624340>
- Lavers, A., & Shiers, D. E. (2000). Construction law and environmental harm: the liability interface. *Construction Management and Economics*, 18(8), 893.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190010001201>
- Lee, J Y., & Ellingwood, B R. (2015, November 1). Ethical discounting for civil infrastructure decisions extending over multiple generations. *Elsevier BV*, 57, 43-52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strusafe.2015.06.001>
- Madhavan, G., Romig, A D., Meserve, R A., & Winter, D. (2023, September 1). Delivering effectively on large engineering projects. *Oxford University Press*, 2(9). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgad281>
- Melton, H. R., & Springer, N. K. (2008, April). Risk-based environmental aspects assessment. In *SPE International Conference and Exhibition on Health, Safety, Environment, and Sustainability?* (pp. SPE-111946). SPE.
<https://doi.org/10.2118/111946-ms>
- Mohammadi, S., & Birgönül, M T. (2016, December 1). Preventing claims in green construction projects through investigating the components of contractual and legal risks. *Elsevier BV*, 139, 1078-1084.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.08.153>
- O'Connor, J T., Torres, N., & Woo, J. (2016, July 1). Sustainability Actions during the Construction Phase. *American Society of Civil Engineers*, 142(7).
[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)co.1943-7862.0001128](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001128)
- Plessis, C D. (2007, January 1). A strategic framework for sustainable construction in developing countries. *Taylor & Francis*, 25(1), 67-76.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01446190600601313>
- Putra, B. M., & Alfietta, M. Z. Z. (2022). Ideas of green building laws and regulations in realizing sustainable buildings in Indonesia. *Indones. Sch. Sci. Summit Taiwan Proceeding*, 4, 76-85. <https://doi.org/10.52162/4.2022163>
- Schaal, W., & Zevely, J A. (2003, September 1). Achieving risk allocation and sustaining readiness in environmental construction contracting. *Wiley*, 14(3), 39- 53. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ffej.10081>
- Schuldt, S., Nicholson, M., Adams, Y. A., & Delorit, J. D. (2021). Weather- Related Construction Delays in a Changing Climate: A Systematic State-of-the- Art Review. *Sustainability*, 13(5), 2861. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052861>
- Shurrab, J., Hussain, M., & Khan, M. (2019, May 29). Green and sustainable practices in the construction industry. *Emerald Publishing Limited*, 26(6), 1063- 1086.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/ecam-02-2018-0056>
- Son, H., Kim, C., Chong, W K., & Chou, J. (2011). Implementing sustainable development in the construction industry: constructors' perspectives in the US and Korea. *Wiley*, 19(5), 337-347. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.442>
- Sparrevik, M., Boer, L D., Michelsen, O., Skaar, C., Knudson, H., & Fet, A M. (2021). Circular economy in the construction sector: advancing environmental

- performance through systemic and holistic thinking. *Springer Science+Business Media*, 41(3), 392-400. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10669-021-09803-5>
- Sukardi, S N., & Abduh, M. (2019, January 1). Indonesian contractors' practices towards sustainable procurement principles for green building projects. *EDP Sciences*, 270, 05009-05009. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201927005009>
- Trtílek, P., & Hanák, T. (2021). Measuring the performance of construction contracts with regard to the environment. *IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, 656(1), 12008. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/656/1/012008>
- Tunji-Olayeni, P ., Mosaku, T O., Oyeyipo, O., & Afolabi, A. (2018, May 1). Sustainability strategies in the construction industry: implications on Green Growth in Nigeria. *IOP Publishing*, 146, 012004-012004. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/146/1/012004>.
- Waris, M., Ting, N H., Lee, C K., Darun, M R., Mehfooz, U., & Khamidi, M F. (2018, January 1). Green Procurement in Construction Industry: A Theoretical Perspective of Enablers and Barriers. *EDP Sciences*, 203, 02012-02012. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201820302012>
- Wei, G. (2021, March 1). Construction Pollution Prevention Measures and Effect Analysis of Engineering Projects. *IOP Publishing*, 687(1), 012010-012010. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/687/1/012010>
- Wirahadikusumah, R D., Abduh, M., & Messah, Y A. (2020, April 1). Framework for Sustainable Procurement-Identifying Elements for Construction Works. *Trans Tech Publications*, 897, 245-249. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.897.245>
- Wong, J., Chan, J K S., & Jayantha, W M. (2016, July 4). Facilitating effective green procurement in construction projects: An empirical study of the enablers. *Elsevier BV*, 135, 859-871. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.07.001>
- Yiu, T W. (2013, November 1). Going Green: Researching in Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution. *American Society of Civil Engineers*, 5(4), 160-161. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)la.1943-4170.0000133](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)la.1943-4170.0000133)